UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/341,590	07/12/1999	BJARNE DUE LARSEN	50412/008001	5316
21559 CLARK & ELI	7590 03/29/200° RING LLP	7	EXAMINER	
101 FEDERAL	STREET	,	LUKTON, DAVID	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	. 1
SHORTENED STATUTOR	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE		Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		1 A 11 - 41 N1 -	4 - 174(-)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/341,590	LARSEN, BJARN	1E DŃE			
		Examiner	.Art Unit				
		David Lukton	1654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	lanuary 2007.	•				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>83,87,88,90,101,102,112 and 113</u> is. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>83</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>87,88,90,101,102,112 and 113</u> is/are Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	e objected to.	cation.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•		• •			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	I Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)			

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/16/07 has been entered.

The previous specie election (filed 8/24/00) remains in force, i.e., the following peptide:

Leu-enkephalin-(Lys)₆.

Pursuant to the directives of the response filed 1/16/07, claim 83 has been amended, and claim 113 added. Claims 83, 87, 88, 90, 101, 102, 112-113 are now pending.

Applicants' arguments filed 1/16/07 have been considered and found not persuasive.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 83 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In response to this rejection, applicants have argued that each of the elements of claim 83 can be found in one place or another in the specification. As it happens, there is no descriptive support (claim 83) for variable Z comprising one residue selected from Glu, Lys and Met. Setting this aside for the moment, the issue with regard to the other limitations is that, while each limitation can perhaps be found in isolation, it is the "picking and choosing" of the various elements that constitutes new matter. There is no motivation to combine them in the manner presented in claim 83.

As for the matter of variable Z comprising one residue selected from Glu, Lys and Met, applicants have made no attempt to defend this language. **Applicants** have, however, argued for descriptive support of claim 113. In support of this claim, applicants have pointed to page 13, line 14+, page 13, line 30+ and page One could take from these passages that "Z" can consist of 4-7 14, lines 1-2. amino acids, each of which must be one of Glu, Lys, or Met, or that "Z" can consist of 4-15 amino acids, again where the amino acids present can be none other than Glu, Lys, and/or Met. But claim 83 is not limited to this. 83 encompasses the possibility that "Z" can consist of any peptide, as long as it is limited to 4-15 amino acids, and as long as one of those 4-15 amino acids is Glu. There is no descriptive support for such language in the Lys, or Met. specification.

♦

Claim 90 is objected to. The ninth peptide listed is SEQ ID NO: 99. The last four amino acids are underlined. Elimination of the underline is required (or else an explanation of its purpose).

4

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action.

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 USC. §103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in the Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made, absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Claim 83 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over Li (USP 4,081,434).

Li discloses (col 4, line 40) the following peptide:

YGGFMTLFKNAIVKNAHKKGQ

This is really just a conjugate of Met-enkephalin to which is bonded, at the C-terminus, the following peptide: TLFKNAIVKNAHKKGQ.

As is evident, this C-terminal peptide "comprises" lysine.

It is noted that instant claim 83 imposes an upper limit of 15 on the number of amino acids in "Z". However, claim 83 imposes no limit on the total number of amino acids that may be present. Thus, peptides having just 10 amino acids would be encompassed, as would peptides containing 1000 amino acids.

Thus, the claim is anticipated, or rendered obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached at (571)272-0562. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

D. Lukton

DAVID LUKTON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER